Analysing the Impact of Climate Change on Rice Productivity in Pakistan

Munir Ahmad
Muhammad Nawaz
Muhammad Iqbal
Sajid Amin Javed

Pakistan Institute of Development Economics
Spirit of Free Inquiry
1957
Islamabad

IDRC | CRDI
International Development Research Centre
Centre de recherches pour le développement international

Analysing the Impact of Climate Change on Rice Productivity in Pakistan

Munir Ahmad
Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, Islamabad

Muhammad Nawaz
Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, Islamabad

Muhammad Iqbal
Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, Islamabad

and

Sajid Amin Javed
Pakistan Institute of Development Economics, Islamabad

Pakistan Institute of Development Economics
Islamabad, Pakistan

E-mail:
Website: http://www.pide.org.pk
Fax: +92-51-9248065

C O N T E N T S

List of Tables

ABSTRACT

This study, applying Fixed Effect Model (FEM), analyses the impact of climate change on yield of fine and coarse rice in Pakistan using district-level panel data for the period of 1987-2010. The evidence suggests that climate change significantly affects yield of both types of rice crops. The impact varies across different phenological stages of the crop in magnitude as well as direction. Precipitation forms a statistically significant non-linear relationship with yield for both types of rice. No evidence, however, was found for presence of non-linear temperature effects. Additionally, fertilizer use plays a significant role in enhancing yield in case of Basmati rice only.

1. INTRODUCTION

. eing the largest sector of Pakistan s economy, agriculture contributes 21.4 percent to GDP, provides employment to 45 percent of the labour force and earns significant revenue from exports. In addition to its importance for developing countries, agriculture sector is perceived to be highly vulnerable to climate change the world over. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)[1] suggests that crop production in South Asian region is expected to be badly affected by climate change i.e. rise in temperature,[2] droughts, and erratic rainfalls. Global warming[3] and consequently the unexpected weather variability can be

[1]Fourth Assessment report of the IPCC (2007).

[2] he global mean surface air temperature has increased by 0.6 in twentieth century and future prediction about increase in temperature lies in the range of 1.1-2.9 in the twenty-first century under low emission of greenhouse gases, and 2.4 to 6.4 under high emission of greenhouse gases [Islam, *et al.* (2011)], depending upon the scenario of future innovations [Aggarwal and Sivakumar (2011)].

[3]Caused by the emission of methane from rice paddies [Cicerone and Shetter (1981)] carbon dioxide and greenhouse gases (GHG) from large scale manufacturing [Rehan and Nehdi (2005)] and atmospheric brown clouds (ABC) due to sea salt and mineral dust [Ramanathan (2006)].

harmful to agriculture sector through its negative impact on plant growth and development [Islam, *et al.* (2011)]. Pakistan, in general, and its agriculture sector in particular bears no exception and faces higher vulnerability to climate change.[4]

The impact of climate change on agriculture production is an empirical issue, and the extant literature, in general, concludes that climatic changes are affecting agricultural production negatively [Adams, *et al.* (1988); Cline (1996); Parry, *et al.* (2004); Lobell and Field (2007); and Cabas, *et al.* (2010)]. Nonetheless, a handful of studies find the evidence for positive association between increased temperature and agricultural output [Gbetibouo and Hassan (2005)].

Of the major crops of Pakistan, accounting 25.2 percent to the agricultural value added, rice is the second major staple food [Pakistan (2013)].[5] The literature analysing the impact of changing climatic conditions on rice, however, is limited.[6] Empirical literature supports the evidence that rice crop, grown in mild temperature with standing water in paddy fields, is already under heat stress and that further rise in temperature may badly affect the crop [Welch, *et al.* (2010)]. The impact of rising temperature on rice varies across the growth stages and it is reported that high temperature during flowering stage increases the floret sterility in rice exerting a negative impact on the yield [Yoshida (1981) and Matsushima, *et al.* (1982)]. The hot and dry weather conditions during ripening stage of Basmati varieties result in abdominal whiteness of the grains harming rice quality [Hussain (1964)]. The crop is also highly sensitive to water stress and a small reduction in water use may result in significant reduction in rice yield by changing the soils state from submergence to that exposed to greater aeration [Yoshida (1981)]. Depletion of underground water and consequently lower

---

[4]Maplecroft ranked Pakistan 24th in the list of countries most vulnerable to climate change.

[5]Pakistan is known for the production of fine varieties of rice (basmati) as well as coarse rice cultivars.

[6]These studies include Auffhammer, *et al.* (2006), Cheng and Chang (2002), Felkner, *et al.* (2009), Barnwal and Kotani (2010); and Welch, *et al.* (2010), Islam, *et al.* (2011) and Auffhammer, *et al.* (2012).

levels of water available for irrigation, renders the rice crop highly sensitive to precipitation level and patterns [Aggarwal and Sivakumar (2011); Tuong and Bouman (2003)]. The issue bears a special relevance for Pakistan as expected to experience severe shortage of water by 2025 [IWMI (2000)].

Literature, evaluating the impact of changing climate on rice production in Pakistan, is also quite scarce. Recently, Siddiqui, *et al.* (2011) analysed the impact of climate change on production of major crops in Pakistan including rice. The study at hands differs from Siddiqui, *et al.* (2011) both in nature and scope. Firstly, this work undertakes a separate analysis for two types of rice cultivars namely Basmati and Coarse which are quite different from each other in terms of crop duration and phenological stages[7] implying a different production response function for each. Secondly, withstanding the standard definition of climate change, this study reads the climate change a long-term phenomenon as contrary to Siddiqui, *et al.* which uses only the current year values of climatic variables representing weather and not climate. Thirdly, the present study controls the impact for certain non-climatic variables also. Fourthly, our work captures the non-linear impacts of climate on rice yield.

On these accounts, the present study is an attempt to extend the scope of work both in nature and rigor generating reliable estimates of the impact of climate change on rice productivity in Pakistan. The remaining part of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 details the data and estimation methodology. Results are discussed in Section 3, while Section 4 concludes.

2. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

2.1. Data and Variables

This study estimates the rice yield functions by using data from selected districts of Punjab and Sindh for the period 1987 to

---

[7]The rice crop period considered in Siddiqui, *et al.* (2011) covered the months of August to November as against the reality of May to November.

2010.[8] The data were collected from Federal Bureau of Statistics Pakistan (FBSP), Provincial Development Statistics and National Fertiliser Development Centre (NFDC), Islamabad.[9] The data on climatic variables were obtained from the Pakistan Meteorological Department (PMD), Islamabad.[10] The temperature and precipitation variables are constructed using three phenological stages of rice crop since the variations in climatic conditions during various stages of crop growth have different effect on crop yield [Auffhammer, *et al.* (2012)]. The first stage covers nursery growing, transplanting and tillering; the second stage covers vegetative growth, flowering and milking; and the third stage covers maturity and harvesting of the rice. For Basmati (Coarse rice) the first stage extends from June to July (May to June), the second stage extends from August to September (July to August), and the third stage extends from October to November (September to October).

Following Segerson and Dixon (1999) and Cabas, *et al.* (2010),[11] this study uses 20 years moving averages of temperature

---

[8]The district level data for total output and area of rice is available since 1981. However, variety-wise information is available since 1987. For Basmati rice, we took Gujranwala, Gujrat, Okara, Shikhupura, Sahiwal, Sialkot, Lahore and Kasur districts while for coarse rice, Badin, Larkana, Shikarpur, Jacobabad, Nasirabad, and Thatta districts were selected.

[9]Fertiliser use for rice is calculated by multiplying the total fertiliser off-take with rice share (fertiliser*rice share) in each concerned districts. Where, the total fertiliser use is the sum of Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium (NPK) nutrients measured in thousand tonnes.

[10]The observed Met data is not available for all districts. Therefore, the missing data has been generated through ECHAM5 GCM using Grid Analysis and Display System (GrADS) software to obtain the mean temperature data at desired locations (latitude, longitude) [PMD (2013)]. However, the precipitation data generated through this system was not reliable as it differed widely from the actual observations. Therefore, the observed precipitation data of the adjacent district was used for those districts where the Met stations data was not available.

[11]Segerson and Dixon (1997) used cross-sectional sample of 975 counties of United State for the year 1978, 1982 and 1987. They analysed climate impact on corn, soybean and wheat production. While, Cabas et al. (2010) used 8 counties data of Canada from 1981-2006 and analysed the impact of climate variables on corn, soybean and winter wheat yields.

and total precipitation during different phenological stages in order to capture the long-run impacts of climate change. Additionally, the effects of shocks are captured by taking the deviation of temperature and precipitation from their corresponding long-run means as used by Cheng and Chang (2002). The results are controlled for non-climatic variables including fertiliser use, area under respective rice variety and technological change captured through time trend. Furthermore, the main Coarse rice growing districts are prone to floods and drought incidences so we use dummy variable(s) for the extreme events showing a flood/drought year or otherwise.[12]

2.2. The Model

The issue of evaluating the impact of climate change on agricultural output attracted special attention of researchers after the seminal work of Nordhaus (1977). Production function approach has been widely used to analyse the climate change-agriculture nexus. A good volume of literature use simulation models[13] to look into the future changes in climate and their impacts on agriculture [Tubiello, *et al.* (2002); Luo, *et al*. (2003); Luo, *et al*. (2005); Lobell, *et al.* (2005); Magrin, *et al.* (2005); Lobell and Field (2007); Ludwig, *et al.* (2009); and Lea, *et al*. (2012)].[14] Incapacity of above mentioned models to accommodate crops substitutions and adaptations to climate led the formulation of Ricardian approach pioneered by Mendelsohn, *et al*. (1994) wherein the impact of climate change is analysed using value of

---

[12]During the rice growing season (*Kharif*) there were droughts in the study area during years, 2000-01 and floods in 1992-93, 2003-04, 2006-07 and 2009-10.

[13]CCSR, AOGCM, PCM, CCCma, CERES, and APSIM-Wheat.

[14]The Center for Climate Systems Research (CCSR), Atmosphere-Ocean General Circulation Model (AOGCM), Parallel Climate Model (PCM), Canadian Centre for Climate Modelling and Analysis (CCCma), Crop Estimation through Resource and Environment Synthesis (CERES), Agricultural Production Systems IMulator (APSIM).

farmland or net rent as dependent variable.[15] The major advantage of this technique is that it allows crop substitutions and farm-level adaptations—making it most attractive in evaluating the impact of climate change on agriculture. However, the major drawbacks of this approach include unavailability of reliable data for agricultural farm values and the existence of imperfect land markets in developing countries [Gbetibouo and Hassan (2005); and Guiteras (2009)]. This approach has also been criticised on the grounds of its implicit assumptions of constant prices and zero adjustment cost making the welfare calculations biased [Cline (1996)], and provides lower-bound estimates of the costs of climate change [Quiggn and Horowitz (1999)].

Following Segerson and Dixon (1999), Cheng and Chang (2002) and Cabas, *et al.* (2010), the above deficiencies can be avoided using modified production function approach.[16] These studies introduced 20 to 30 years moving averages of temperature and precipitation in the production to capture the influence of climate change on crop yields more effectively. The impacts of weather shocks can be introduced in the same function by taking the deviations of current weather variables from their respective long-term means. Some studies including Adams, *et al.* (2003) and Felkner, *et al.* (2009)[17] introduced quadratic terms of climatic variables to examine whether the impact of climate change on crop production is non-monotonic or not. In order to account for the joint impact of temperature and precipitation Hansen (1991), Ludwig and Asseng (2006), Weersink, *et al.* (2010) and Cabas, *et al.* (2010) further extended the production function by introducing the interaction terms. The present study uses the modified

---

[15]Important applications of this approach include Mendelsohn and Dinar (1999), Reinsborough (2003), Weber and Hauer (2003), Gbetibouo and Hassan (2005), Schlenker, *et al.* (2006), and Deshenes and Greenstone (2011).

[16]The traditional production function studies have been criticised on the grounds that they estimate only the short-run impacts, while the climate change is a long-run phenomenon which takes years to impact on crop production [IPCC (2007)].

[17]See also Cabas, *et al.* (2010); Seo (2010) and Weersink, *et al.* (2010).

production function to assess the impact of climate change on rice yield in Pakistan.

The general form of the production function can be written as:

$$Y = f(Cl, NCl) \tag{1}$$

Where, Y is rice production per-hectare (yield), Cl is the vector of climatic variables including temperature and precipitation while NCI is the vector of non-climatic variables such as fertiliser area under rice and technological change. Following Ahmad and Ahmad (1998), the Cobb-Douglas functional form can be written as:

$$Y_{it} = e^{\beta_0 + \beta_T (Tem_{it}) + \beta_P (Precip_{it}) + \beta_{VT} DTem_{it}) + \beta_{VP} (DPrecip_{it})}$$
$$(Fert_{it})^{\beta_f} (RArea_{it})^{\beta_{Ar}} e^{\beta_g T} e^{\varepsilon_{it}} \tag{2}$$

Where, Y_{it} is yield per hectare in district i and time t. Tem, and $Precip$ are 20 year average of monthly mean temperature and precipitation (mm), $DTem$, and $DPrecip$ are deviations of temperature and precipitation from respective long-run means, $Fert$ is total amount of fertilisers used for rice, $RArea$ is area under rice and T is a trend variable capturing technological change. All βs are unknown parameters to be estimated. By taking the natural logarithm on both sides of the Equation 2 the function can be rewritten in the linear form as:

$$\ln(Y_{it}) = \beta_0 + \beta_T Tem_{it} + \beta_P Precip_{it} + \beta_{VT} DTem_{it} + \beta_{VP} DPrecip_{it})$$
$$+ \beta_f \ln(Fert_{it} + \beta_{Ar} \ln(RArea_{it}) + \beta_g T + \varepsilon_{it} \tag{3}$$

Where, ln denotes the natural logarithm. The quadratic and interaction terms of climatic variables are also introduced in the specification to capture the non-linearity and joint impacts of the climatic variables. Floods and drought conditions have been very common in districts which are growing coarse rice, and to control the results for natural disasters, a dummy variable (DF) is

introduced in the model.[18] The full version of Equation 3, dropping subscript *it* for convenience, can be written as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln(Y) &= \beta_0 + \beta_{TS} Tem_S + \beta_{TV} Tem_V + \beta_{TM} Tem_M + \beta_{PS}\Pr ecip_S \\
&+ \beta_{PV}\Pr ecip_V + \beta_{PM}\Pr ecip_M + \beta_{TS2}(Tem_S)^2 + \beta_{TV2}(Tem_V)^2 \\
&+ \beta_{TM2}(Tem_M)^2 + \beta_{PS2}(\Pr ecip_S)^2 + \beta_{PV2}(\Pr ecip_V)^2 + \beta_{DTS} DTem_S \\
&+ \beta_{DTV} DTem_V + \beta_{DTM} DTem_M + \beta_{DPS} D\Pr ecip_S + \beta_{DPV} D\Pr ecip_V \\
&+ \beta_{DPM} D\Pr ecip_M + \beta_{PM2}(\Pr ecip_M)^2 + \beta_{TPS}(Tem_S * \Pr ecip_S) \\
&+ \beta_{TPV}(Tem_V * \Pr ecip_V) + \beta_{TPM}(Tem_M * \Pr ecip_M) + \beta_f \ln(Fert) \\
&+ \beta_{Ar}\ln(RArea) + \beta_g T + \beta_{Df} DF + \varepsilon
\end{aligned} \tag{4}
$$

Where, *S, V, and M* (in subscript to s) respectively represent first stage (sowing to tillering), second stage (vegetative growth to flowering/milking) and the third stage (maturity to harvesting).

Application of OLS to pooled/panel data provides inconsistent results as it requires the random and/or fixed effect models [Baltagi (2005); Asteriou and Stephen (2007); and Wooldridge (2009)]. This study uses the fixed effect method due to the possibility of correlation between unobserved time invariants and regressors [Stock and Watson (2003); Baltagi (2005); Wooldridge (2009); and Sarker (2012)]. Furthermore, it also accounts the district specific effects that is preferred over pooled least square and random effect methods [McCarl, *et al.* (2008); Kim and Pang (2009); Barnwal and Kotani (2010); Cabas, *et al.* (2010); Sarker (2012)].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Basmati Rice

Fixed effect estimates for Basmati rice are reported in Table 1. General-to-specific (G2S) approach, widely argued [Hoover and

---

[18]DF is dummy variable having value equal to 1 in the case of a flood year and zero otherwise. This variable shall be considered only in coarse rice model in Sindh.

Perez (2004); Hendry and Krolzig (2004)] and used in empirical literature [Ahmad and Battese (1997); Ahmad and Bravo-Ureta (1995a); Ahmad and Bravo-Ureta (1995b)] is followed in this study. Based on specification test, Model B, selected as final model, suggests a non-linear impact of temperature and precipitation on Basmati rice yield.[19] It is evident from the results that temperature and precipitation normals make a significant joint impact on Basmati rice yield across various stages of the crop growth which is indicative of the fact that the impact of

Table 1

Fixed Effect Model Estimates (Basmati Rice)

Variables	Parameter	Model A		Model B	
		Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE
Constant	0	1.036	0.138	4.279	0.698
Temperature (June-July)	TS	0.291	0.198	-0.047**	0.025
Temperature (Aug.-Sep.)	TV	-0.167	0.315	-0.089***	0.029
Temperature (Oct.-Nov.)	TM	-0.178	0.135	0.024*	0.013
Precipitation (June- July)	PS	0.008	0.009	-0.007	0.006
Precipitation (Aug.-Sep.)	PV	-0.039***	0.008	-0.033***	0.006
Precipitation (Oct.-Nov.)	PM	0.071***	0.024	0.092***	0.022
D Temperature (June- July)	DTS	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.002
D Temperature (Aug.-Sep.)	DTV	-0.005**	0.003	-0.005**	0.003
D Temperature (Oct.-Nov.)	DTM	0.005***	0.002	0.005***	0.002
D Precipitation (June- July)	DPS	0.001***	0.00004	0.0002***	0.0005
D Precipitation (Aug.-Sep.)	DPV	-0.00009**	0.00004	-0.00009**	0.0004
D Precipitation (Oct.-Nov.)	DPM	0.001***	0.001	0.0007***	0.001
Temperature (June- July)^2	$TS2$	-0.005*	0.003		
Temperature (Aug.-Sep.)^2	$TV2$	0.002	0.005		
Temperature (Oct.-Nov.)^2	$TM2$	0.005	0.004		
Precipitation (June- July)^2	$PS2$	-0.0008	0.00007	-0.00003	0.000
Precipitation (Aug.-Sep.)^2	$PV2$	0.0005***	0.00006	0.00005***	0.0006
Precipitation (Oct.-Nov.)^2	$PM2$	-0.001	0.001	-0.0003	0.001
Temperature x Precipitation (June- July)	TPS	-0.0002	0.001	0.0004***	0.001
Temperature x Precipitation (Aug.-Sep.)	TPV	0.001***	0.001	0.0006***	0.0001
Temperature x Precipitation (Oct.-Nov.)	TPM	-0.003***	0.001	-0.004***	0.0001
Natural logarithm of Fertiliser	f	0.052***	0.014	0.047***	0.014
Natural logarithm of Rice Area	Ar	-0.014	0.014	-0.020*	0.013
Time Trend	g	0.029***	0.001	0.029***	0.001
Adjusted R-Square		0.77		0.77	

Note: ***, **,* indicate the 1 percent, 5 percent and 10 percent level of significance, respectively.

[19]For brevity, the results of Model B are discussed. See Table 2 for specification test supporting that Model B fits the data best.

temperature and precipitation is not separable.[20] Based on the joint Wald test (as reported in Table 2), squared terms of temperature normals, were not included in the Model B.

Table 2

Specification Tests for Alternative Basmati Yield Models

Models	Null Hypothesis	Variables	F-value (Prob.)	χ^2-value (Prob.)	Result
Model A	$TPS = TPY = TPM = 0$	Interaction Terms	5.77 (0.006)	17.29 (0.006)	Rejected
	$TS2 = TV2 = TM2 = 0$	Temperature Normal Square	1.62 (0.19)	4.68 (0.19)	Not Rejected
Model B	$PS2 = PV2 = PM2 = 0$	Precipitation Normal Square	57.38 (0.000)	172.14 (0.00)	Rejected
	$DTS = DTV = DTM = 0$	Temperature Variations	3.19 (0.02)	9.58 (0.02)	Rejected
	$PS2 = PV2 = PM2 = 0$	Precipitation Variations	9.67 (0.00)	29.00 (0.00)	Rejected
	$TS = TV = TM = 0$	Temperature Normal	12.52 (0.00)	37.56 (0.00)	Rejected
	$PS = PV = PM = 0$	Precipitation Normal	21.27 (0.00)	63.79 (0.00)	Rejected

The results of Model B further suggest that increase in mean temperature normal during the first stage of crop growth (June-July) and second stage (August-September) harms the basmati rice yield. The temperature normals interact with precipitation normals having significant influence on rice productivity implying that higher temperature with greater precipitation during June-July (first stage of crop growth) is beneficial for Basmati rice. The marginal impact of increase in temperature during June-July on

---

[20]These results are in concurrence with Yoshida (1981), Hansen (1991), Ludwig and Asseng (2006) and Cabas, *et al.* (2010).

Basmati yield is 0.0075 [21]—implies that if there is any increase in temperature assuming the precipitation occurs at the historic mean would prove beneficial for the crop productivity. The net impacts of rising temperature during August-September (the second stage) and in October November (the third stage) were found to be 0.0069 and 0.0179 respectively. These results imply that the rise in temperature normal during phonological stage covering flowering, milking, and maturity stages is particularly harmful for productivity of Basmati rice.

Similarly, increase in precipitation normal during first two growth stages (covering nursery growing, transplanting, tillering, vegetative growth, flowering, and milking) significantly reduces the yield of Basmati rice. The squared terms of precipitation normals influence the yield significantly. The marginal impacts, assessed at the mean of temperature normal, are 0.0014 and 0.0012 for the first and second stages of crop growth, respectively. This result could be due to the increased erratic rains that may cause submergence of newly transplanted rice and overflow of fertiliser nutrients which are crucial for vegetative growth. Also increase precipitation results in high humidity that can cause high pests and disease infestation of the crop and ineffectiveness of weed control measures. The marginal impact of precipitation normal during the maturity stage, evaluated at the mean levels of precipitation and temperature normal, turned out to be positive (0.0006) implying that better precipitation helps the crop productivity if the temperature stays at the historical mean.

Deviations of temperature and precipitation from their respective long-run means (variations) are incorporated to gauge the impact of weather shocks on rice yield. Temperature variation at first stage enters statistically insignificant showing that heat

---

[21]Marginal impacts can be computed by taking the partial derivative of the estimated version of Equation 4 with respect to the targeted variable, and then be evaluated at the mean of the other variable(s) involved.

waves during June-July had not significantly affected the yield in case of Basmati rice. Statistically significant coefficients for the deviations of temperature from historic mean during the second and third stages imply that the temperature variations from their respective normals would influence yield adversely when the crop is in vegetative growth, flowering, and milking stages and positively during the maturity and harvesting stages.[22]

Deviation of precipitation from its long-run mean during June-July yields statistically significant positive effect indicating that a cool wave or positive precipitation shock would affect rice yield positively. According to Tuong and Bouman (2003) and Islam, *et al.* (2011), rice paddy requires standing water at initial stage which is evident from the sign and significance of the precipitation term at first stage. The precipitation shocks may decrease rice yield which is evident from the floods and drought prevailed in Pakistan. During the third stage (maturing/ripening and harvesting) precipitation variation is found affecting Basmati yield positively and significantly.

Fertiliser use has significant positive impact on Basmati rice yield. The response coefficient for fertiliser is low—may be due to unbalanced use of fertiliser. The coefficient of area under Basmati rice is negative and statistically significant supporting the evidence of decreasing returns to scale. The plausible explanation of decreasing return may be that major proportions of the farm-lands are under rice cultivation during Kharif season in rice growing districts of Pakistan with little opportunity for fallowing the land and/or crop rotation. Allocation of additional farm area to rice production thus amounts to intensification of monocropping agriculture that in turn results in land degradation and pest/insect build-up reducing productivity.[23] The tech-nological improvement, captured through time trend, contributes positively to yield of Basmati rice.

---

[22]Similar results are reported by [Hussain (1964)].

[23]see Cassman and Pingali (1993); Pingali, *et al.* (1997); Ahmad, *et al.* (1998); and Ahmad (2003).

3.2. Coarse Rice Yield

The results of alternative models estimated for Coarse rice using fixed effects are reported in Table 3. The application of general to specific criteria and Wald tests statistics (see Table 4) lead us to choose the Model E for further discussion. Against the temperature normals no evidence of hill shaped relationship between rice yields and warming is found. However, the evidence suggests that non-linear relationship between precipitation and rice yield exists. No significant joint impact of climate normals is found. The impacts of weather shocks (of temperature as well as precipitation) were also found statistically insignificant.

The results reported in Table 3 (Model E) show that the temperature normal during the first phonological stage (May-June) contributed to the yield of coarse rice positively while the rise in temperature normals during second stage (July-August) and third stage (September-October) influenced coarse rice productivity negatively; however, the impacts are not statistically significant. [24] In order to assess the impact of precipitation normals (linear as well as squared terms) on rice yield, the response coefficients were evaluated at the mean precipitation levels for May-June and July-August periods covering the first and the second crop growth stages of rice. The magnitudes of these response coefficients are 0.0372 for May-June and 0.002 for July-August—implying that the precipitation during the first and second phenological stages of coarse rice enhances crop yield. The precipitation normal during the third stage (maturity) also exhibits non-linear relationship with rice yield.

---

[24]The results are in line with Cramer (2006).

Table 3

Fixed Effect Model Estimates for Course Rice (Dependent Variable: Natural Logarithm of Yield)

Variables	Parameter	Model A		Model B		Model C		Model D		Model E	
		Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE	Coefficient	SE
Constant	β_0	3.264	43.165	5.1912	41.898	2.4031	2.1265	2.0891	2.0320	2.4536	2.0026
Temperature (May-June)	TS	5.019	3.358	4.8380	3.005	0.3200**	0.1584	0.3300**	0.1531	0.3245**	0.1517
Temperature (July-Aug.)	TV	-4.976**	2.573	-4.5516*	2.457	-0.2319	0.1800	-0.2371	0.1707	-0.2612	0.1679
Temperature (Sep.-Oct.)	TM	-0.558	2.275	-0.8974	2.018	-0.2097	0.1400	-0.2054	0.1362	-0.1815	0.1341
Precipitation (May-June)	PS	-0.294	0.418	0.0710*	0.040	0.0718*	0.0400	0.0700*	0.0395	0.0629*	0.0386
Precipitation (July-Aug.)	PV	0.033	0.054	0.0333***	0.008	0.0325***	0.0086	0.0328***	0.0084	0.0320*	0.0081
Precipitation (Sep.-Oct.)	PM	0.125	0.207	-0.0563*	0.031	-0.0465	0.0308	-0.0456	0.0302	-0.0434	0.0283
DTemperature (May-June)	DTS	0.014	0.019	0.0121	0.018	0.0107	0.0177				
DTemperature (July-Aug.)	DTV	-0.002	0.017	-0.0008	0.017	0.0031	0.0169				
DTemperature (Sep.-Oct.)	DTM	-0.005	0.016	-0.0041	0.016	-0.0051	0.0160				
DPrecipitation (May-June)	DPS	-0.001	0.001	-0.0013	0.002	-0.0012	0.0014	-0.0014	0.0013		
DPrecipitation (July-Aug.)	DPV	0.0001	0.0004	0.0003	0.0004	0.0003	0.0004	0.0003	0.0004		
DPrecipitation (Sep.-Oct.)	DPM	-0.001	0.001	-0.0010	0.0011	-0.0010	0.0011	-0.0009	0.0010		
Temperature (May-June)^2	TS2	-0.066	0.047	-0.0630	0.0423						
Temperature (July-Aug.)^2	TV2	0.070*	0.037	0.0620*	0.0352						
Temperature (Sep.-Oct.)^2	TM2	0.007	0.038	0.0129	0.0342						
Precipitation (May-June)^2	PS2	-0.003	0.002	-0.0033	0.0019	-0.0035*	0.0019	-0.0034*	0.0018	-0.0031*	0.0018
Precipitation (July-Aug.)^2	PV2	0.002***	0.002	-0.0003***	0.0001	-0.0003***	0.0001	-0.0003***	0.0001	-0.0003***	0.0001
Precipitation (Sep.-Oct.)^2	PM2	0.003**	0.001	0.0034***	0.0013	0.0032***	0.0012	0.0032***	0.0012	0.0029***	0.0012
Temp x Precip (May-June)	TPS	0.011	0.012								
Temp x Precip (July-Aug.)	TPV	0.000	0.001								
Temp x Precip (Sep.-Oct)	TPM	-0.006	0.007								
Natural logarithm of fertiliser	f	-0.033	0.028	-0.0358	0.0276	-0.0347	0.0272	-0.0337	0.0264	-0.0327	0.0263
Natural logarithm of rice area	Ar	0.075	0.089	0.1349*	0.0645	0.0931*	0.0574	0.0910*	0.0564	0.0865	0.0556
Time Trend	g	-0.017***	0.007	-0.0170**	0.0059	-0.0155***	0.0058	-0.015***	0.0057	-0.0149***	0.0056
DF (Extreme Events)	β_{Df}	-0.040	0.053	-0.0343	0.0523	-0.0352	0.0524	-0.0333	0.0515	-0.0439	0.0494
Adjusted R-Square		0.68		0.68		0.68		0.679		0.683	

Note: ***, **,* indicate the 1 percent, 5 percent and 10 percent level of significance, respectively.

Table 3

Table 4

Specification tests for Alternative Coarse Rice Yield Models

Models	Null Hypothesis		F-value (Prob.)	χ^2 value (Prob.)	Result
Model A	$\beta_{TPS} = {}_{TPV} \quad {}_{TPM} = 0$	Interaction Terms	0.636 (0.593)	1.907 (0.593)	Not rejected
Model B	$\beta_{TS2} = {}_{TV2} \quad {}_{TM2} = 0$	Temperature Square	1.176 (0.321)	3.529 (0.317)	Not rejected
Model C	$\beta_{PS2} = {}_{PV2} \quad {}_{PM2} = 0$	Precipitation Square	10.91 (0.000)	32.31 (0.000)	Rejected
	$\beta_{DTS} = {}_{DTV} \quad {}_{DTM} = 0$	Temperature Variations	0.222 (0.880)	0.666 (0.881)	Not rejected
Model D	$\beta_{DPS} = {}_{DPV} \quad {}_{DPM} = 0$	Precipitation Variations	0.625 (0.601)	1.874 (0.599)	Not rejected
Model E	$\beta_{TS} = {}_{TV} \quad {}_{TM} = 0$	Temperature normal	3.668 (0.015)	11.001 (0.012)	Rejected
	$\beta_{PS} = {}_{PV} \quad {}_{PM} = 0$	Precipitation normal	8.865 (0.00)	26.59 (0.00)	Rejected

The frequency and intensity of floods has increased during the past couple of decades. The impacts of these extreme events are captured by introducing a dummy variable in the model. The sign of the coefficient indicate negative influence on rice yield in Sindh; however, the impact is statistically non-significant.[25]

Among the non-climatic variables, the sign of fertiliser variable is unexpectedly negative. However, it is statistically insignificant. The main reason for fertiliser having no impact on yield of rice at the margin could be the unbalanced use of nitrogen, potassium and phosphorus (macro nutrients). The coefficient value of area under rice is 0.0865 indicating increasing returns to scale; however, it is also statistically non-significant. The time trend—proxy for technological change shows that the rice yields have been declining over the time. The results of RRA conducted in various districts of Sindh highlighted the poor support of technological backup in terms of new verities and agronomic methods, particularly under the fast changing climatic indicators [Ahmad, *et al.* (2013)].

---

[25] The floods of 2004, 2007 and 2010 are prominent. In 2007, rice production decreased by 2 percent as compared to the last year and 4.5 percent from target level. In 2010, there was 2.7 percent reduction in rice sown and also 1.0 percent less than the target level.

4. CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATION

The evidence suggests that temperature has significant impact on yield of Basmati as well as coarse rice. The impact, however, varies in magnitude and direction across the growth stages. The precipitation normal plays a significant role in enhancing rice yield. The extreme events (shocks) of temperature as well as precipitation during second stage (covering phonological stages of vegetative growth, flowering, and milking) reduce yield of Basmati rice but during other two stages, these shocks exert a positive effect on Basmati yield. The extreme weather conditions (temperature and precipitation shocks) had no significant impact on yield of coarse rice in Pakistan during the period under study.

We find the evidence for the existence of hill-shaped relationship between precipitation normal and rice productivity. However, the specification tests indicate non-existence of hill shaped relationship between temperature normal and rice productivity. The combined effect of climatic variables was found significant in Basmati rice yield model. In spite of that, sensitivity analysis checks the robustness of the coefficients for both types of rice with the application of general to specific criteria.

There is a need to identify, test, and scale up the adaptation strategies in order to reduce the adverse impact of climate change. Some special measures should also be undertaken to enhance the adaptive capacities of farmers through developing innovations/ technologies that can withstand the adverse impact of climate change which may include the following:

- Enhancing physical availability and economic access to promising technologies.
- Improving knowledge of farmers.
- Remodelling of the required support services.

The development of high yielding verities (HVYs) tolerant of biotic and abiotic stresses as well as adapting crop production practices to climate change (especially sowing dates, sowing methods, and irrigation practices) are crucial to improve rice yields

in Pakistan. Therefore, reprioritising of the agricultural research agenda is required giving higher attention to address the issues of climate change. Promotion of balanced use of NPK (macro nutrients) and application of micro nutrients in rice fields can be effective for rice yields in Pakistan.

REFERENCES

Adams, R M., B. A. McCarl, D. J. Dudek, and J. D. Glyer (1988) Implications of Global Climate Change for Western Agriculture. *Western Journal of Agricultural Economics* 13:2, 348 356.

Adams, R. M., B. A. McCarl, and L. O. Mearns (2003) The Effects of Spatial Scale of Climate Scenarios on Economic Assessments: An Examples from U.S. Agriculture. *Climatic Change* 60, 131 148.

Aggarwal, P. K. and V. K. Mannava Sivakumar (2011) Global Climate Change and Food Security in South Asia: An Adaptation and Mitigation Framework. In R. Lal, M. V. K. Sivakumar, S. M. A. Faiz, A. H. M. M. Rahman, and K. R. Islam (eds.) *Climate Change and Food Security in South Asia* 253 275.

Ahmad, M. and A. Ahmad (1998) An Analysis of the Sources of Wheat Output Growth in the Barani Area of the Punjab. *The Pakistan Development Review* 37:3, 231 249.

Ahmad, M. and B. E. Bravo-Ureta (1995a) An Econometrics Decomposition of Dairy Output Growth. *American Journal Agriculture Economics* 77, 914 921.

Ahmad, M. and B. E. Bravo-Ureta (1995b) Technical Efficiency Measures for Dairy Farms Using Panel Data: A Comparison of Alternative Model Specifications. *The Journal of Productivity Analysis* 7, 399 415.

Ahmad, M. and G. E. Battese (1997) A Probit Analysis of the Incidence of the Cotton Leaf Curl Virus in Punjab, Pakistan. *The Pakistan Development Review* 36:2, 155 169.

Ahmad, M., M. I bal, and A. M. han 201 armers Perceptions and Adaptations Climate Change, Agriculture and

Food Security in Pakistan: Adaptations Options and Strategies. Climate Change Brief, Pakistan Institute of Development Economics (PIDE).

Asteriou, D. and S. G. Hall (2000) *Applied Econometrics: A Modern Approach Using EViews and Microfit.* Palgrave Macmillan.

Auffhammer, A., V. Ramanathan, and J. R. Vincent (2012) Climate Change, and the Monsoon, and Rice Yield in India. *Climate Change* 111, 411 424.

Auffhammer, M., V. Ramanathan, and R. J. Vincent (2006) Integrated Model Shows that Atmospheric Brown Clouds and Greenhouse Gases have Reduced Rice Harvests in India. *PNAS* 103:52, 19669.

Balochistan, Government of (Various Issues) *Development Statistics.* Provincial Bureau of Statistics, Balochistan.

Baltagi, B. H. (2005) *Econometric Analysis of Panel Data.* West Sussex: John Wiley and Sons.

Barnwal, P. and K. Kotani (2010) Impact of Variation in Climatic Factors on Crop Yield: A Case of Rice Crop in Andhra Pradesh, India. IUJ Research Institute, International University of Japan, Economics & Management Series EMS-2010-17.

Cabas, J., A. Weersink, and E. Olale (2010) Crop Yield Response to Economic, Site and Climatic Variables. *Climatic Change* 101, 599 616.

Cassman, K. G. and P. L. Pingali (1993) Extrapolating Trends from Long-Term Experiments to Farmers Fields: The Case of Irrigated Rice Systems in Asia. In Proceedings of the Working onference on Measuring ustainability sing ong-Term Experiments . othamsted Experimental tation, 28 30 April.

Cheng, C. and Chang (2002) The Potential Impact of Climate Change on aiwan s Agriculture. *Agricultural Economics* 27, 51 64.

Cicerone, R. J. and J. D. Shetter (1981) Sources of Atmospheric Methane: Measurements in Rice Paddies and a Discussion. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 86:C8, 7203 7209.

Cline, William R. (1996) The Impact of Global Warming of Agriculture: Comment. *The American Economic Review* 86:5, 1309 1311.

Felkner, J., K. Tazhibayeva, and R. Townsend (2009) Impact of Climate Change on Rice Production in Thailand. *American Economic Review: Papers & Proceedings* 99:2, 205 210.

Gbetibouo, G. A. and R. M. Hassan (2005) Measuring the Economic Impact of Climate Change on Major South African Field Crops: A Ricardian Approach. *Global and Planetary Change* 47, 143 152.

Geologocal Society of America (GSA) (2007) Managing Drought: A Roadmap for Change in the United States, A Conference Report from Managing Drought and Water Scarcity in Vulnerable Environments-Creating a Roadmap for Change in the United States, Longmont, CO, 18-20 September, 2006.

Guiteras, Raymond (2009) The Impact of Climate Change on Indian Agriculture. Department of Economics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland. (Manuscript).

Hansen, L. (1991) Farmer Response to Changes in Climate: The Case of Corn Production. *Journal of Agricultural Economics Research* 43:4, 18 25.

Hendry, D. F. and H-M. Krolzig (2004) We Ran One Regression. O*xford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 66:5, 799 810.

Hoover, K. D. and S. J. Perez (2004) Truth and Robustness in Cross-country Growth Regressions. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 66:5, 765 798.

Hussain, M. S. (1964) Export Potential of Fine Rice of Pakistan. *The Pakistan Development Review* 4:4, 665 706.

IPCC (2007) Summary of the Policymakers. In S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K. B. Averyt, M. Tignor, and H. L. Miller (eds.) Climate Change (2007) *The Physical Science basis. Contribution of the Working group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Islam, S. M., A. A. Mahbub, and S. M. Islam (2011) Cool Rice for a Warmer Environment: Concept, Progress and Prospect-Bangladesh Perspective. Presented at International Conference on lobal limate hange and its Effects held on 25-30 August 2008 at Dhaka, Bangladesh, published in Dinar, A. and Mendelsohn, . *Handbook on Climate Change and Agriculture* , pp. 423 431.

IWMI (International Water Management Institute) (2000) *World Water Supply and Demand*. Colombo: IWMI, Sri Lanka.

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Government of (formerly NWFP), (Various Issues) *Development Statistics*. Provincial Bureau of Statistics, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (formerly NWFP).

Kim, M. K. and A. Pang (2009) Climate Change Impact on Rice Yield and Production Risk. *Journal of Rural Development* 32:2, 17 29.

Lea, Jaehyuk, Denis Nadolnyak, and Valentina Hartarska (2012) Impact of Climate Change on Agricultural Production Asian Countries: Evidence from Panel Study. Selected paper presented all the Southern Agricultural Economics Association, Annual Meeting, Birmingham, Al, Feb. 4-7, 2012.3.

Lobell, B. D. and B. C. Field (2007) Global Scale Climate Crop Yield Relationships and the Impacts of Recent Warming. *Environmental Research Letter* 2, 1 7.

Lobell, B. D., J. Ivan Ortiz-Monasterio, Gregory P. Asner, Pamela A. Matson, Rosamond L. Naylor, and Walter P. Falcon (2005) Analysis of Wheat Yield and Climatic Trends in Mexico. *Field Crops Research* 94:2, 250 256.

Ludwig, F. and S. Asseng (2006) Climate Change Impacts on Wheat Production in a Mediterranean Environment in Western Australia. *Agricultural Systems* 90:1 3, 159 179.

Ludwig, F., P. Milory Stephen, and Senthold Asseng (2009) Impacts of Recent Climate Change on Wheat Production Systems in Western Australia. *Climatic Change* 92:3-4, 495 517.

Luo, Qunying, Martin A. J. Williams, William Bellotti, and Brett Bryan (2003) Quantitative and Visual Assessments of Climate Change Impacts on South Australian Wheat Production. *Agricultural Systems* 77:3, 173 186.

Luo, Qunying, William Bellotti, Martin Williams, and Brett Bryan (2005) Potential Impact of Climate Change on Wheat Yield in South Australia. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 132:3, 273 285.

Magrin, Graciela O., María I. Travasso, and Gabriel R. Rodríguez (2005) Changes in Climate and Crop Production during the 20th Century in Argentina. *Climatic Change* 72:1-2, 229 249.

Maplecroft:http://maplecroft.com/portfolio/new-analysis/2013/10/30/31-global-economic-output-forecast-face-high-or-extreme-climate-change-risks-2025-maplecroft-risk-atlas/.

Matsushima, S., H. Ikewada, A. Meada, S. Honda, and H. Niki (1982) Studies on Rice Cultivation in the Tropics. 1. Yielding and ripening Response of the Rice Plant to Extremely Hot and Dry Climate in Sudan. *Japanese Journal of Tropical Agriculture* 26, 19 25.

McCarl, B. A., X. Villavicencio, and X. Wu (2008) Climate Change and Future Analysis: Is Stationarity Dying? *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 90:5, 1241 7.

Mendelsohn, R. and A. Dinar (1999) Climate Change, Agriculture, and Developing Countries: Does Adaptations Matter? *The World Bank Research Observer* 14:2, 277 293.

Mendelsohn, R., D. W. Nordhaus, and D. Shaw (1994) The Impact of Global Warming on Agriculture: A Ricardian Analysis. *The American Economic Review* 84:4, 753 771.

National Fertiliser Development Center (NFDC) (Various Issues) *Fertiliser Use Survey at Farm Level in Pakistan*. Islamabad: Planning and Development Division, Government of Pakistan.

Nordhaus, W. D. (1977) Economic Growth and Climate: The Case of Carbon Dioxide. *The American Economic Review* 67:1,

Papers and Proceedings of the Eighty-ninth Annual Meeting of the American Economic Association (Feb., 1977), pp. 341 346.

Pakistan, Government of (Various Issues) *Crops Area and Production (By Districts)*. Islamabad: Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock, Government of Pakistan.

Pakistan, Government of (Various Issues) *Economic Survey*. Islamabad: Economic Adviser s ing, inance Division.

Parry, M. L., C. Rosenzweig, A. Iglesias, M. Livermore, and G. Fischer (2004) Effects of Climate Change on Global Food Production under SRES Emissions and Socio-economic Scenarios. *Global Environmental Change* 14:1, 53 67.

Pingali, P. L., M. Hussain, and R. Gerpacio (1997) *Rice Bowls of Asia: The Returning Crisis*? Wallingford, UK: International Rice Research Institute/ Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau.

Punjab, Government of (Various Issues) *Development Statistics*. Provincial Bureau of Statistics, Punjab.

Quiggin, John C. and John K. Horowitz (1999) The Impact of Global Warming on Agriculture: A Ricardian Analysis-Comment. *American Economic Review* 89:4, 1044 1045.

Ramanathan, V. (2006) Atmospheric Brown Clouds: Health, Climate and Agriculture Impacts. Interactions between Global Change and Human Health Pontifical Academy of Sciences, Scripta Varia 106.

Rehan, R. and M. Nehdi (2005) Carbon Dioxide Emissions and Climate Change: Policy Implications for the Cement Industry. *Environmental Science and Policy* 8:2, 105 114.

Reinsborough, M. J. (2003) A Ricardian Model of Climate Change in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue* 36, 21 40.

Sarker, A. R. M. (2012) Impacts of Climate Change on Rice Production and armers Adaptation in Bangladesh. PhD Thesis, submitted in University of Southern Queensland, Australia.

Segerson, K. and B. L. Dixon (1999) Climate Change and Agriculture: The Role of Farmer Adaptation. In R. Mendelsohn and J. E. Neumann (eds.) *The Impact of Climate Change on the*

United States Economy. Chap 4. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 75 93.

Seo, Niggolo S. (2010) A Micro Econometric Analysis of Adapting Portfolio to Climate Change: Adoption of Agricultural System in Latin America. *Applied Economic Perspective and Policy* 32:3, 489 514.

Siddiqui, R., G. Samad, M. Nasir, and Hanzla H. Jalil (2012) The Impact of Climate Change on Major Agricultural Crops: Evidence from Punjab, Pakistan. *The Pakistan Development Review* 51:4.

Sindh, Government of (Various Issues) *Development Statistics*. Provincial Bureau of Statistics, Sindh.

Stock, J. H. and M. W. Watson (2003) *Introduction to Econometrics*. Singapore: Pearson Education.

Tubiello, F. N., C. Rosenzweig, R. A. Goldberg, S. Jagtap, and J. W. Jones (2002) Effects of Climate Change on US Crop Production: Simulation Results using Two Different GCM Scenarios. Part I: Wheat, Potato, Maize, and Citrus. *Climate Research* 20:3, 259 270.

Tuong, T. P. and B. A. M. Bouman (2003) *Rice Production in Water-scarce Environment. Comprehensive Assessment of Water Management in Agriculture Series*. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing.

Weber, M. and G. Hauer (2003) A Regional Analysis of Climate Change Impacts on Canadian Agriculture. *Canadian Public Policy* 29:2, 163 180.

Weersink, A., J. H. Cabas, and E. Olale (2010) Acreage Response to Weather, Yield, and Price. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics* 58:1, 57 72.

Welch, R. J., R. J. Vincentb, M. Auffhammer, F. P. Moyae, A. Dobermannf, and D. Daweg (2010) Rice Yields in Tropical/subtropical Asia Exhibit Large but Opposing Sensitivities to Minimum and Maximum Temperatures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the Unite States of America (PNAS)* 107:33, 14562 14567.

Wooldridge, J. M. (2009) *Introductory Econometrics: A Modern Approach*. Mason, USA: South-Western Publications.

Yoshida, S. (1981) Fundamental of Rice Crop Science. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Philippines, pp. 269.

Ziska, H. L. (2011) Climate Change, Carbon Dioxide and Global Crop Production: Food Security and Uncertainty. In A. Dinar and R. Mendelsohn (eds.) *Handbook on Climate Change and Agriculture*. pp. 9 31.

This series of papers is an outcome of a joint research project of PIDE and IDRC. Transnational financing of developmental projects by donor agencies has emerged to be a notable phenomenon around the globe. Amongst others, International Development and Research Centre (IDRC) Canada remains one of the leading agencies providing funds for multifaceted developmental projects being implemented in developing countries. The project *"Climate Change Agriculture and Food Security in Pakistan: Adaptation Options and Strategies"* is one such an endeavor of PIDE and IDRC. Broadly speaking, the project aims at exploring responses of crop yields to changing climate and analyzing the adaptation efforts undertaken by farmers. The issue of climate change bears a special importance for Pakistan's economy being heavily dependent on agriculture sector both in terms of its contribution to GDP and employment. This project involves two strands of empirical undertakings: i) studies based on districts-level panel; and ii) studies based on Rapid Rural Appraisal (RRA) and household level survey data. The outcomes of the studies based on panel and cross-sectional data are being reported in working paper series of the project whereas findings of RRA have been published as a policy brief. However, for information of readers, the salient upshots of RRA are summarized in the following.

The evidence from RRA is suggestive that the farming communities in various regions of Pakistan widely perceive that climate is changing and is adapting accordingly through undertaking a wide range of adaptation strategies. Some of the adaptations in rainfed areas include use of deep tillage for rainwater harvesting and preserving moisture, building of small check dams, shifting away from shallow rooted to deep rooted crops, and delayed sowing of wheat and mustard by 15-30 days etc. While adaptations in irrigated agriculture include, in major, increased installation of tube-wells, increased area under low-delta/low-input requiring crops like canola and mustard as alternative to wheat in water scarce areas and substitution of other crop (guar seed and cotton crops being replaced with mungbean in low intensity zone), delayed wheat sowing by 15-21 days, and sowing of cotton on ridges to manage water scarcity etc.

Surprisingly, however, notwithstanding the changing climate, the research institutions and extension department still keep recommending completion of wheat sowing by 20th of November irrespective of regional climate variations. The sowing of rice nursery before 20th of May is prohibited according to the Punjab Agricultural Pest Ordinance, 1959 in order to control multiplication of harmful pests on early sown rice nurseries. Further, canal closure schedules do not match with the adaptation needs of farmers confronting climate changes (especially wheat in Punjab and rice in Sindh. The farmers have an urgent need of support from agricultural research and extension as well as other government departments to enhance their adaptive capacities.

Climate Change Working Paper Series No. 1

IDRC | CRDI
International Development Research Centre
Centre de recherches pour le développement international